OpenDreamKit Work Package 6 Data/Knowledge/Software-Bases

> Michael Kohlhase FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg http://kwarc.info/kohlhase

Second OpenDreamKit Review, Luxembourg, October 30. 2018

Kohlhase: ODK WP6 1 Second ODK Review, Oct. '18





Kohlhase: ODK WP6 1 Second ODK Review, Oct. '18



 SageMath/CoCalc and WP6 approach (Math-in-the-Middle; MitM) are both attempts at making a VRE Toolkit.



Kohlhase: ODK WP6



- SageMath/CoCalc and WP6 approach (Math-in-the-Middle; MitM) are both attempts at making a VRE Toolkit.
- SageMath/CoCalc is very successful, because integration is lightweight:
 - It makes no assumption on the meaning of math objects exchanged.
 - Restricts itself to master-slave integration of systems into SageMath.

But there are safety, extensibility, and flexibility issues!

overall pattern: design – prototype – scale

Kohlhase: ODK WP6



- SageMath/CoCalc and WP6 approach (Math-in-the-Middle; MitM) are both attempts at making a VRE Toolkit.
- SageMath/CoCalc is very successful, because integration is lightweight:
 - It makes no assumption on the meaning of math objects exchanged.
 - Restricts itself to master-slave integration of systems into SageMath. But there are safety, extensibility, and flexibility issues!
- MitM tries to take the high road (make possible by OpenDreamKit)
 - Safety: by semantic (i.e. context-aware) objects passed.
 - Extensibility: any open-API system (i.e. with API CDs) can play.
 - ► Flexibility: full peer-to-peer possibilities. (future: service discovery)

But we have to develop a whole new framework!(Review 1 \sim Proof of Concept)

overall pattern: design – prototype – scale



- SageMath/CoCalc and WP6 approach (Math-in-the-Middle; MitM) are both attempts at making a VRE Toolkit.
- SageMath/CoCalc is very successful, because integration is lightweight:
 - It makes no assumption on the meaning of math objects exchanged.
 - Restricts itself to master-slave integration of systems into SageMath. But there are safety, extensibility, and flexibility issues!
- MitM tries to take the high road (make possible by OpenDreamKit)
 - Safety: by semantic (i.e. context-aware) objects passed.
 - Extensibility: any open-API system (i.e. with API CDs) can play.
 - Flexibility: full peer-to-peer possibilities. (future: service discovery)

But we have to develop a whole new framework!(Review $1 \sim$ Proof of Concept)

- Review Period2: State of WP6 (MitM) Integration
 - Developed mathematical use-cases (what do researchers want to do)
 - Extended middleware, grown MitM ontology, collected alignments
 - Jupyter integration into MathHub.info

overall pattern: design – prototype – scale



- SageMath/CoCalc and WP6 approach (Math-in-the-Middle; MitM) are both attempts at making a VRE Toolkit.
- SageMath/CoCalc is very successful, because integration is lightweight:
 - It makes no assumption on the meaning of math objects exchanged.
 - Restricts itself to master-slave integration of systems into SageMath. But there are safety, extensibility, and flexibility issues!
- MitM tries to take the high road (make possible by OpenDreamKit)
 - Safety: by semantic (i.e. context-aware) objects passed.
 - Extensibility: any open-API system (i.e. with API CDs) can play.
 - Flexibility: full peer-to-peer possibilities. (future: service discovery)

But we have to develop a whole new framework! (Review $1 \rightsquigarrow$ Proof of Concept)

- Review Period2: State of WP6 (MitM) Integration
 - Developed mathematical use-cases (what do researchers want to do)
 - Extended middleware, grown MitM ontology, collected alignments
 - Jupyter integration into MathHub.info

Plan for Review Period 3: Extend to external use-cases users (scale and deploy publicly)

overall pattern: design – prototype – scale



Background: WP6 (Data/Knowledge/Software-Bases)



3



Background: WP6 (Data/Knowledge/Software-Bases)



Proposed Focus: Supply this data to VRE components in an integrated fashion programmatically

Kohlhase: ODK WP6



- The WP6 group had a series of workshops
 - Kickoff in Paris (Sep '15): strategies for joint knowledge representation





- The WP6 group had a series of workshops
 - Kickoff in Paris (Sep '15): strategies for joint knowledge representation
 - ▶ WS in St. Andrews (Feb '16): Math in the Middle Arch. for System Interop.





- The WP6 group had a series of workshops
 - Kickoff in Paris (Sep '15): strategies for joint knowledge representation
 - ▶ WS in St. Andrews (Feb '16): Math in the Middle Arch. for System Interop.



Paper: Interoperability in the OpenDreamKit Project: The Math-in-the-Middle Approach [CICM 2016]



- The WP6 group had a series of workshops
 - Kickoff in Paris (Sep '15): strategies for joint knowledge representation
 - ▶ WS in St. Andrews (Feb '16): Math in the Middle Arch. for System Interop.
 - WS in Bremen (June '16): GAP/SageMath API Content Dictionaries (CDs)





- The WP6 group had a series of workshops
 - ▶ Kickoff in Paris (Sep '15): strategies for joint knowledge representation
 - WS in St. Andrews (Feb '16): Math in the Middle Arch. for System Interop.
 - WS in Bremen (June '16): GAP/SageMath API Content Dictionaries (CDs)
 - WS in Berlin (Feb '17): Math-in-the-Middle Ontology





2 Mathematical Use Cases



- Jane wants to experiment with invariant theory of finite groups.
 - She works in the polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$,
 - ▶ Goal: construct an ideal *I* in *R* that is fixed by a group $G \le S_n$ acting on the variables, linking properties of *G* to properties of *I* and the quotient of *R* by *I*.
 - ▶ Idea: pick some polynomial p from R and consider the ideal I of R that is generated by all elements of the orbit $O = Orbit(G, R, p) \subseteq R$.
 - For effective further computation with 1, she needs a Gröbner base of 1.





- Jane wants to experiment with invariant theory of finite groups.
 - She works in the polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$,
 - ▶ Goal: construct an ideal *I* in *R* that is fixed by a group $G \le S_n$ acting on the variables, linking properties of *G* to properties of *I* and the quotient of *R* by *I*.
 - ▶ Idea: pick some polynomial p from R and consider the ideal I of R that is generated by all elements of the orbit $O = Orbit(G, R, p) \subseteq R$.
 - For effective further computation with I, she needs a Gröbner base of I.
- Jane is a SageMath user and wants to receive the result in SageMath, but she wants to use GAP's orbit algorithm and Singular's Gröbner base algorithm, which she knows to be very efficient.



- Jane wants to experiment with invariant theory of finite groups.
 - She works in the polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$,
 - ▶ Goal: construct an ideal *I* in *R* that is fixed by a group $G \le S_n$ acting on the variables, linking properties of *G* to properties of *I* and the quotient of *R* by *I*.
 - ▶ Idea: pick some polynomial p from R and consider the ideal I of R that is generated by all elements of the orbit $O = Orbit(G, R, p) \subseteq R$.
 - For effective further computation with I, she needs a Gröbner base of I.
- Jane is a SageMath user and wants to receive the result in SageMath, but she wants to use GAP's orbit algorithm and Singular's Gröbner base algorithm, which she knows to be very efficient.
- Problem: Jane has to learn the GAP and Singular languages and retype the results in them. (error-prone)



- Jane wants to experiment with invariant theory of finite groups.
 - She works in the polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$,
 - ▶ Goal: construct an ideal *I* in *R* that is fixed by a group $G \le S_n$ acting on the variables, linking properties of *G* to properties of *I* and the quotient of *R* by *I*.
 - ▶ Idea: pick some polynomial p from R and consider the ideal I of R that is generated by all elements of the orbit $O = Orbit(G, R, p) \subseteq R$.
 - For effective further computation with I, she needs a Gröbner base of I.
- Jane is a SageMath user and wants to receive the result in SageMath, but she wants to use GAP's orbit algorithm and Singular's Gröbner base algorithm, which she knows to be very efficient.
- Problem: Jane has to learn the GAP and Singular languages and retype the results in them. (error-prone)
- For the sake of example, we will work with n = 4, $G = D_4$ (the dihedral group), and $p = 3 \cdot X_1 + 2 \cdot X_2$, but our results apply to arbitrary values.
- Caveat: G is called "D₄" in SageMath but "D₈" in GAP due to differing conventions in different mathematical communities



John's Use Case for LMFDB (slightly abridged)

- John wants to investigate the number fields which are generated by the coefficients of Hilbert modular forms (HMFs).
- LMFDB contains information about all HMFs over base fields F of degree $\mathcal{N} = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ (of parallel weight 2 and trivial character).
- Each HMF comes with a Hecke field K which is stored via a defining polynomial (not canonical or minimal \sim difficult to study)
- **Example 2.1.** $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ may occur as $x^2 2$ and $x^2 2x 1$.
- John would like to be able to
 - 1. extract these defining polynomials from the LMFDB,
 - 2. use them to define number fields in SageMath,
 - 3. find simpler polynomials defining the same fields, and
 - 4. study their arithmetic properties (e.g., their class numbers).



3 Realizing MitM Interoperability – The Computational Group Theory Case Study –



A MitM Theory in MMT Surface Language

Example 3.1. A theory of Groups

Declaration $\widehat{=}$

name : type [= Def] [# notation]

Axioms $\widehat{=}$ Declaration with type $\vdash F$

ModelsOf makes a record type from a theory.

theory group : base:?Logic = theory group_theory : base:?Logic = include ?monoid/monoid_theory } inverse : U → U || # 1 ⁻¹ prec 24 }

inverse property : $\forall \forall x = p \text{ for } x \neq 1$ group = ModelsOf group_theory

- MitM Foundation: optimized for natural math formulation
 - higher-order logic based on polymorphic λ-calculus
 - ▶ judgements-as-types paradigm: $\vdash F \stackrel{\frown}{=}$ type of proofs of *F*
 - dependent types with predicate subtyping, e.g. $\{n\}{i \in mat(n, n) | symm(a)'}$
 - (dependent) record types for reflecting theories



MitM Computational Group Theory

Four levels of modeling

Abstract Level: the group axioms, generating sets, homomorphisms, group actions, stabilisers, orbits, centralizers, normalizers.

(Following the GAP template)

- Representation Level: axiomatizations concrete objects suitable for computation permutation groups, matrix groups, ..., also group actions, group homomorphism
- Implementation Level: permutation groups as subgroups of S_{N+} , concretely $S_{[1,...,n]}$.
- Concrete Level: where actual computations happen.

Alignments between the MitM Ontology and the GAP API



Kohlhase: ODK WP6 8 Second ODK Review, Oct. '18

The Knowledge Graph for MitM, SageMath, GAP, Singular



Kohlhase: ODK WP6

Meaning-Preserving Relations between System Dialects

Definition 3.2. We call a pair of identifiers (a₁, a₂) that describe the same mathematical concept an alignment.
 We call an alignment perfect, if it induces a total, truth-preserving translation. (e.g. alignment up to argument order)

- Intuition: Alignments don't need to be perfect to be useful!
 - Alignment up to Totality of Functions (e.g. division undefined on 0 and with $\frac{x}{0} = 0$)
 - Alignment for Certain Arguments (e.g. Addition on natural numbers and addition on real numbers)
 - Alignment up to Associativity (e.g. binary addition and "sequential" addition)
 They still allow for translating expressions between libraries. (under certain conditions)



▶ In SageMath Jane has already built the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, the group $G = D_4$, the action A of G on R that permutes the variables, and $p = 3 \cdot X_1 + 2 \cdot X_2$.



- ▶ In SageMath Jane has already built the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, the group $G = D_4$, the action A of G on R that permutes the variables, and $p = 3 \cdot X_1 + 2 \cdot X_2$.
- She calls
 - o = MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) # the orbit
 - i = MitM.Singular(o).Ideal() # the ideal
 - g = i.Groebner().sage() # the Groebner basis



- ▶ In SageMath Jane has already built the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, the group $G = D_4$, the action A of G on R that permutes the variables, and $p = 3 \cdot X_1 + 2 \cdot X_2$.
- She calls
 - o = MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) # the orbit
 - i = MitM.Singular(o).Ideal() # the ideal
 - g = i.Groebner().sage() # the Groebner basis

The MitM server translates MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) to the GAP system dialect and sends it to GAP.



- ▶ In SageMath Jane has already built the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, the group $G = D_4$, the action A of G on R that permutes the variables, and $p = 3 \cdot X_1 + 2 \cdot X_2$.
- She calls
 - o = MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) # the orbit
 - i = MitM.Singular(o).Ideal() # the ideal
 - g = i.Groebner().sage() # the Groebner basis
- The MitM server translates MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) to the GAP system dialect and sends it to GAP.
- ► GAP returns the orbit: $O = [3X_1 + 2X_2, 2X_3 + 3X_4, 3X_2 + 2X_3, 3X_3 + 2X_4, 2X_2 + 3X_3, 3X_1 + 2X_4, 2X_1 + 3X_4, 2X_1 + 3X_2]$



- ▶ In SageMath Jane has already built the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, the group $G = D_4$, the action A of G on R that permutes the variables, and $p = 3 \cdot X_1 + 2 \cdot X_2$.
- She calls
 - o = MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) # the orbit
 - i = MitM.Singular(o).Ideal() # the ideal
 - g = i.Groebner().sage() # the Groebner basis
- The MitM server translates MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) to the GAP system dialect and sends it to GAP.
- ► GAP returns the orbit: $O = [3X_1 + 2X_2, 2X_3 + 3X_4, 3X_2 + 2X_3, 3X_3 + 2X_4, 2X_2 + 3X_3, 3X_1 + 2X_4, 2X_1 + 3X_4, 2X_1 + 3X_2]$
- The MitM server translates MitM.Singular(O).Ideal().Groebner() to the Singular system dialect and sends it to Singular..



- ▶ In SageMath Jane has already built the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, the group $G = D_4$, the action A of G on R that permutes the variables, and $p = 3 \cdot X_1 + 2 \cdot X_2$.
- She calls
 - o = MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) # the orbit
 - i = MitM.Singular(o).Ideal() # the ideal
 - g = i.Groebner().sage() # the Groebner basis
- The MitM server translates MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) to the GAP system dialect and sends it to GAP.
- ► GAP returns the orbit: $O = [3X_1 + 2X_2, 2X_3 + 3X_4, 3X_2 + 2X_3, 3X_3 + 2X_4, 2X_2 + 3X_3, 3X_1 + 2X_4, 2X_1 + 3X_4, 2X_1 + 3X_2]$
- The MitM server translates MitM.Singular(O).Ideal().Groebner() to the Singular system dialect and sends it to Singular..
- Singular returns the Gröbner base *B*.



- ▶ In SageMath Jane has already built the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, the group $G = D_4$, the action A of G on R that permutes the variables, and $p = 3 \cdot X_1 + 2 \cdot X_2$.
- She calls
 - o = MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) # the orbit
 - i = MitM.Singular(o).Ideal() # the ideal
 - g = i.Groebner().sage() # the Groebner basis
- The MitM server translates MitM.Gap.orbit(G,A,p) to the GAP system dialect and sends it to GAP.
- ► GAP returns the orbit: $O = [3X_1 + 2X_2, 2X_3 + 3X_4, 3X_2 + 2X_3, 3X_3 + 2X_4, 2X_2 + 3X_3, 3X_1 + 2X_4, 2X_1 + 3X_4, 2X_1 + 3X_2]$
- The MitM server translates MitM.Singular(O).Ideal().Groebner() to the Singular system dialect and sends it to Singular..
- Singular returns the Gröbner base *B*.
- The MitM server translates B to the SageMath system dialect and sends it to SageMath, where the result is shown to Jane.

$$B = [X_1 - X_4, X_2 - X_4, X_3 - X_4, 5 * X_4].$$



Combine SCSCP enabled GAP, SageMath, and Singular with MMT mediator.



Singular







Singular



Combine SCSCP enabled GAP, SageMath, and Singular with MMT mediator.



Singular



Combine SCSCP enabled GAP, SageMath, and Singular with MMT mediator.



Singular



Combine SCSCP enabled GAP, SageMath, and Singular with MMT mediator.





Combine SCSCP enabled GAP, SageMath, and Singular with MMT mediator.





Combine SCSCP enabled GAP, SageMath, and Singular with MMT mediator.





- Steve prefers working in GAP, and he wants to compute the Galois group of the rational polynomial $p = x^5 2$.
- He discovers the GAP package radiroot



- Steve prefers working in GAP, and he wants to compute the Galois group of the rational polynomial $p = x^5 2$.
- He discovers the GAP package radiroot
- Jane suggests PARI/GP: he calls G := MitM("PARIGP","GaloisGroup",p) from PARI/GP which gives him the desired Galois group as a GAP permutation group.



- Steve prefers working in GAP, and he wants to compute the Galois group of the rational polynomial $p = x^5 2$.
- He discovers the GAP package radiroot
- Jane suggests PARI/GP: he calls G := MitM("PARIGP","GaloisGroup",p) from PARI/GP which gives him the desired Galois group as a GAP permutation group.
- Steve repeats Jane's experiments on G, without leaving GAP.



- Steve prefers working in GAP, and he wants to compute the Galois group of the rational polynomial $p = x^5 2$.
- He discovers the GAP package radiroot
- Jane suggests PARI/GP: he calls (once that is MitM-connected) G := MitM("PARIGP","GaloisGroup",p) from PARI/GP which gives him the desired Galois group as a GAP permutation group.
- Steve repeats Jane's experiments on G, without leaving GAP.
- Finally, Steve installs a GAP method by calling
 InstallMethod(GaloisGroup, "for a polynomial", [IsUnivariatePolynomial], p -> MitM("PARIGP", "GaloisGroup", p))
 - \rightsquigarrow extends GaloisGroup to rational polynomials in GAP.
- This replaces a significant part of the 1800-LoC radiroot package (by PARI/GP delegation)



MitM-based Integration centers around the MitM Ontology

If you are Really interested in the Graphs interact with them in 3D



Kohlhase: ODK WP6





4 MitM InterOperability for Mathematical Databases

Kohlhase: ODK WP6



Mathematical Knowledge Bases (MKS)

State of the Art: mathematical object databases (GAP libraries, OEIS, LMFDB)





Mathematical Knowledge Bases (MKS)

State of the Art: mathematical object databases (GAP libraries, OEIS, LMFDB)

Problem: human-oriented interface, very limited programmatic API, no computation

LMFDB	
Introduction and more	Formats: - HTML - YAML - JSON - 2017-11-14T20:02:56.693021 - next page
Introduction Features	Query: /api/transitivegroups/groups/g_onset=0&cyc=1
Universe Future Plans	0. Objectld(/4e68db0a0eb55b70c8000000)
News	{'ab': 1, 'arith_equiv': 0, 'auts': 1, 'cyc': 1, 'label': u'lTl', 'n': 1, 'name': u'Trivial group', 'order': u'l', 'parity': 1, 'pretty': u'Trivial', 'prim': 1, 'repns': [], 'resolve': [], 'solv': 1, 'subs': [], 't': 1}
L-functions	Objection 1/1/2-0440-ex0-ex02000000
Degree: 1 2 3 4	Competition (according to the control of the con
ζ zeros	u'SC_(12)S', 'prim': 0, 'repns': (), 'resolve': [[2, [2, 1]], [3, [3, 1]], [4, [4, 1]], [6, [6, 1]]], 'solv': 1, 'subs': [[2, 1], [3, 1], [4, 1], [6, 1]], 't': 1)
Modular Forms	2 Object////4688/dh140eb55h70e9000057)
Classical Maass	2. Cojeka(=60000 = 1060000 = 7) {'ab': 1, 'arith equiv': 0, 'auts': 9, 'eye': 1, 'label': u'971', 'n': 9, 'name': u'C(9)=9', 'order': u'9', 'parity': 1, 'pretty': u'\$C_9\$',
Hilbert Bianchi	'prim': 0, 'repons': {}, 'resolve': {{3, {3, 1}}}, 'solv': 1, 'subs': {{3, 1}}, 't': 1}

Idea: can't we use MitM Technologies here to integrate?



John's Use Case for LMFDB (slightly abridged)

- John wants to investigate the number fields which are generated by the coefficients of Hilbert modular forms (HMFs).
- ▶ LMFDB contains information about all HMFs over base fields F of degree $\mathcal{N} = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ (of parallel weight 2 and trivial character).
- ► Each HMF comes with a Hecke field K which is stored via a defining polynomial (not canonical or minimal ~> difficult to study)
- **Example 4.1.** $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ may occur as $x^2 2$ and $x^2 2x 1$.
- John would like to be able to
 - 1. extract these defining polynomials from the LMFDB,
 - 2. use them to define number fields in SageMath,
 - 3. find simpler polynomials defining the same fields, and
 - 4. study their arithmetic properties (e.g., their class numbers).



MitM-based Integration of Math Knowledge Bases

Requirements:

- a uniformal programatic API to multiple MKB
- interacting with MKB at the "mathematics Level".
- Idea: use the Math-in-the-Middle Paradigm
 - OMDoc/MMT-based API theories for the mathematical interface (~ MKB records as OM objects)
 - alignments into MitM Ontology
 - extend MMT's built-in query language QMT to general Math query language

Problems:

- MKB tables become OMDoc/MMT theories
- how to reconcile MKB records with OMDoc/MMT terms.
- tow to translate math-level queries to physical database queries



(for OM-dialect mediation)

(size problems) (encoding/decoding)

LMFDB Data (Database Level)

Example 4.2 (A transitive group represented in in LMFDB).

```
"ab" 1
"arith equiv": 0,
"auts": 1.
"cyc": 1,
"label": "1T1".
"n": 1.
```

Legend: for understanding them

- the cyc field represents being cyclic
- the n field represents degree

(LMFDB improved documentation)

```
(0 is false, 1 is true)
(IEEE Float 1 corresponds to 1 \in \mathbb{N})
```

Two Problems: that have to be solved for MitM integration

- data base schema is not at the mathematical level
 - values are encoded for MongoDB convenience

(let alone interoperable) (what do they mean?)



Codecs: Encoding and Decoding Database Values



Definition 4.3 (Codec). A codec consists of two functions that translate between semantic types and realized types.

Codecs			Mathiub	
codec	:	$\texttt{type} \to \texttt{type}$		
StandardPos	:	codec \mathbb{Z}^+	JSON number if small enough, else JSON string of decimal expansion	
StandardNat	:	codec $\mathbb N$		
StandardInt	:	codec $\mathbb Z$		
IntAsArray	:	codec $\mathbb Z$	JSON List of Numbers	
IntAsString	ng : codec \mathbb{Z} JSON String of decimal expansion			
StandardBool	:	codec $\mathbb B$	JSON Booleans	
BoolAsInt	:	$ ext{codec} \ \mathbb{B}$	JSON Numbers 0 or 1	
StandardString	:	codec S	JSON Strings	

StandardInt decodes 1 into the float 1, but 2⁵⁴ into the string "18014398509481984"



Elliptic Curve Code Operators



Matrix in the isogeny_matrix field



Definition 4.4 (Codec Operator). A codec operator is a function which takes a codec, a set of parameters, and returns a codec.

		-	
Codecs (continued	I)	—	
StandardList	:	$\texttt{codec } \mathcal{T} \to \texttt{codec } \mathrm{List}(\mathcal{T})$	JSON list, recursively coding each element of the list
StandardVector	:	codec $\mathcal{T} ightarrow ext{codec} \operatorname{Vector}(n,\mathcal{T})$	JSON list of fixed length n
StandardMatrix	:	codec $T ightarrow ext{codec} \operatorname{Matrix}(n, m, T)$	JSON list of n lists of length m

 StandardMatrix(StandardInt, 3, 3) generates the codec we used for the isogeny matrix



Our approach: Virtual Theories





Example 4.5. Finding all cyclic transitive groups in LMFDB (recall from above)

 \times in (related to (literal 'lmfdb:db/transitive groups?group) by (object declares)) | holds \times (x cyclic x *=* true)

- This example does not rely on the internal structure of LMFDB
- can be translated into an LMFDB query using the just-defined codecs theory
- http://www.lmfdb.org/api/transitivegroups/groups/?cyc=1



Solving John's Hecke Fields Use Case

- Remember: John wanted to study number fields of HMFs via their Hecke field polynomials.
- John computes in SageMath and accesses LMFDB programmatically at the mathematical level (directly in the MitM dialect)
 - Build a query for LMFDB (no network connection with MitM server) Imfdb = MitM.Imfdb algebra = MitM.smglom.algebra

```
# a MitM expression that returns all hmf_forms with degree 2
hmfs_query = Imfdb.hmf_forms.where(algebra.base_field_degree(2))
```

a MitM expression that additionally extracts the Hecke polynomial # from each hmf_form

polys_query = hmfs_query.map(lambda x: lmfdb.hecke(x))

- run the query via MitM and obtain the set of Sage polynomials polys = MitM.run(polys_query)
- further processing in Sage fields = [NumberField(p) for p in polys]



... and the same in a Jupyter Notebook

Example 4.6. John's use case in a Jupyter Notebook (with a SageMath kernel)

```
In [1]: # import all the relevant bits from MitM
        import MitM
        from MitM import lmfdb, algebra
In [6]: # put the query together
        query = lmfdb.hmf hecke.where(
            algebra.HilbertNewforms.base field degree(int(2)),
            algebra.HilbertNewforms.dimension(int(2)),
        ).limit(until=int(10)).map(algebra.HeckeEigenvalues.heckePolynomial)
In [7]: # and run it
        MitM.run(query)
Out[7]: [x^2 + x + 7]
         x^2 + x + 4,
         x^2 + x + 7,
         x^2 + x + 2
         x^2 + x + 4,
         x^2 + 12.
         x^2 + x + 1,
         x^2 + x + 4.
         x^2 + x + 2,
         x^2 + x + 1
In [ ]:
```



... and the same in a Jupyter Notebook

Example 4.6. John's use case in a Jupyter Notebook (with a SageMath kernel)

n [6]:	
	<pre># put the query together query = Inf(b.nmf_heck.where(algebra.HilbertNewforms.base_field_degree(int(2)), algebra.HilbertNewforms.dimension(int(2)), .limit(until=int(10)).map(algebra.HeckeEigenvalues.heckePolynomial)</pre>
in [7]:	∉ and run it MitM.run(query)
ut[7]:	$ \begin{bmatrix} x^2 + x + 7, \\ x'2 + x + 4, \\ x'2 + x + 7, \\ x'2 + x + 7, \\ x'2 + x + 2, \\ x'2 + x + 4, \\ x'2 + 12, \\ x'2 + x + 1, \\ x'2 + x + 1, \\ x'2 + x + 2, \\ x'2 + x + 1 \end{bmatrix} $

Upshot: We have a programmatic, math-level API for LMFDB

embed into any MitM-connected system (syntax adapted to host system)

no DB-level JSON encodings, but concepts like HilbertNewForms.dimension.



5 Jupyter Integration into MathHub



MathHub: A Portal and Archive of Flexiformal Maths

- Idea: learn from the open source community, offer a code repository with management support that acts as a hub for publication/development projects.
- MathHub: a collaborative development/hosting/publishing system of open-source, formal/informal math. (See http://mathhub.info)



MathHub: A Portal and Archive of Flexiformal Maths

- Idea: learn from the open source community, offer a code repository with management support that acts as a hub for publication/development projects.
- MathHub: a collaborative development/hosting/publishing system of open-source, formal/informal math. (See http://mathhub.info)
- MathHub Architeture: Three core components (meet requirements above)
 Representation: OMDoc/MMT mechanized by the MMT system.
 - Repositories: GitLab
 - Front-End: React.JS

(git-based public/private repositories) (all content served by MMT)



An OpenDreamKit Risk come True

Drupal Apocalypse:

- The MathHub front-end was based on Drupal (very popular, mature)
- our Drupal server was repeatedly hacked and compromised ~ large maintenance overhead
- Decision in April 2018: Completely re-develop MathHub front-end using a web framework only.

This was planned anyway (Drupal too heavyweight), but cost us months developer time until now.

The new architecture (Docker compose + JSON + React.JS) helped integrate with Jupyter.



KPIs and Deliverables for WP6

- ► MitM-connected Systems: four (GAP, Sage, LMFDB, Singular) (See D6.5)
- Formal MitM Ontology: 55 files, 2600 LoF, 360 commits
- Informal MitM Ontology: 815 theories, 1700 concepts in English, German, (Romanian, Chinese)
- MitM System API Theories (GAP, Sage, LMFDB, Singular): 1.000+ Theories, 22.000 Concepts.
- Multi-Site involvement of Researchers

(Mobility of Researchers)

(See D6.8)

- PD. Dr. Florian Rabe (Joint appointment UPSud/FAU)
- Felix Schmoll Summer Internship (From JacU to St.Andrews)
- Prof. Nathan Carter (Bentley Univ.) in St. Andrews (Sabbatical)
- Heavy interest by the theorem proving community about MitM Ontology
- Logipedia (http://logipedia.science) adopts the MitM principle of integrating (logical) systems by aligning concepts.
- First ODK-external MitM "user" for the next months: Andrea Thevis, Saarbrücken



- SageMath/CoCalc and WP6 approach (Math-in-the-Middle; MitM) are both attempts at making a VRE Toolkit.
- SageMath/CoCalc is very successful, because integration is lightweight:
 - It makes no assumption on the meaning of math objects exchanged.
 - Restricts itself to master-slave integration of systems into SageMath. But there are safety, extensibility, and flexibility issues!
- MitM tries to take the high road (make possible by OpenDreamKit)
 - Safety: by semantic (i.e. context-aware) objects passed.
 - Extensibility: any open-API system (i.e. with API CDs) can play.
 - Flexibility: full peer-to-peer possibilities. (future: service discovery)

But we have to develop a whole new framework! (Review $1 \rightsquigarrow$ Proof of Concept)

- Review Period2: State of WP6 (MitM) Integration
 - Developed mathematical use-cases (what do researchers want to do)
 - Extended middleware, grown MitM ontology, collected alignments
 - Jupyter integration into MathHub.info

Plan for Review Period 3: Extend to external use-cases users (scale and deploy publicly)

overall pattern: design – prototype – scale

Kohlhase: ODK WP6



References I



